Briefly Noted


The book *The Hidden Histories of War Crimes Trials* – edited by Kevin Jon Heller and Gerry Simpson – is a compilation of 21 contributions to a conference convened in Melbourne at the end of 2010. The project aims at a scholarly recovery of accounts of war crimes trials that were ‘either neglected or under-rehearsed’ (at 1) in the discipline of international criminal law. Accordingly, the contributions tell ‘stories about familiar but under-explored and misunderstood landmarks in the conventional history of international criminal law’ as well as about trials that have been less analysed in this field (at 1). Gregory S. Gordon’s illustrative chapter on the trial of Peter von Hagenbach (chapter 2) is a story of the first kind, whereas Benjamin E. Brockman-Hawe’s comprehensive account of the Franco-Siamese tribunal for the Colonial Era (chapter 3) exemplifies the latter type.

Apart from Gerry Simpson’s introduction, ‘History of Histories’ (chapter 1), the book is divided into seven sections, each of them composed of two to four contributions. Although one of the claimed purposes of the book is the de-Europeanization of the history of war crimes tribunals by showing that trials also occurred in ‘other places’ (at 3–4), three of the seven sections explicitly deal with European Histories: European Histories I: Prosecuting Atrocity; European Histories II: Americans in Europe and European Histories III: Contemporary Trials. The remaining four sections deal with: Pre Histories: From von Hagenbach to the Armenian Genocide; African Histories; Southern Histories and Histories of a Type: Excavating the Crime of Aggression.

All in all, this compilation is reasonably well organized, offering a wide range of informative accounts of war crimes trials. The chapters harmonize well with each other. According to Simpson’s illustrative introduction, the different chapters – to differing extents – serve the objectives of recovery, consolation, pedigree, and pedagogy (at 2). The first appears to be obvious for a historical work committed to uncovering hidden histories. The same applies to the idea of pedigree. Pedagogy and – in particular – consolation are much more notable concepts. Concerning the latter, Simpson advances the view that narratives can provide comfort by giving victims a voice (at 2–3). He argues that both historiography and trials can serve this purpose: ‘[t]elling these stories either in trial or in scholarship is sometimes derived from a wish to re-inscribe ... “numerous and unknown victims”’ (at 3). This, of course, legitimate. However, it has to be emphasized that both forms of narration – the judicial and the scholarly one – are subject to legitimacy requirements that need to be analysed separately. An impressive example of the specific dangers connected with the concept of ‘consolation by trial’ is the balancing act between justice and vengeance that is reported in Giorgina Fitzpatrick’s account of ‘Victor’s Justice’ and Australian Military Justice in the aftermath of World War II (Chapter 16).

It is self-evident that a compilation like *The Hidden History of War Crimes Trials* can provide only a selection of histories, so that many still remain hidden. Heller’s and Simpson’s work is an outstanding accomplishment since it throws light on many themes that were previously rarely explored.
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